Vol. 39 No. 1
Winter 2026

# neonatal INTENSIVE CARE

The Journal of Perinatology-Neonatology



# Reframing Bedside Cameras as a Standard of Care in the NICU: Evidence, Outcomes, and Imperatives for Adoption

Jaylee Hilliard, MSN, RN, NEA-BC, CPXP and Lisa Davenport, DNP, RN, RNC-NIC

**Executive Summary**

This white paper presents a comprehensive, evidence-based case for establishing live-streaming bedside cameras with secure, one-way digital communication (photo, video, and text) as a standard of care in Neonatal Intensive Care Units (NICUs). While historically considered a family engagement amenity, the integration of bedside cameras is increasingly supported by clinical, operational, equity, and economic data. Evidence indicates associations with improved parental mental health, stronger bonding and confidence, sustained lactation behaviors, more transparent staff-family communication, fewer reassurance phone calls, and measurable gains in participation among families facing structural barriers to presence (Hoyt-Austin et al., 2022). Importantly, when implemented equitably with mobile-first access, multilingual support, and streamlined onboarding, camera programs can reduce disparities in bedside access for families who are at a distance, publicly insured, or have limited English proficiency. Against the backdrop of workforce constraints and family integrated care goals, extending meaningful presence virtually, without adding burden, is no longer discretionary but aligned with clinical quality, safety, and equity aims (Chant et al., 2023, Gallagher et al., 2023, Klawetter et al., 2019, Patel et al., 2022, Stefanescu et al., 2023).

## Introduction: Rethinking the Role of Cameras in Modern NICU Care

The NICU is among the most technologically advanced and emotionally taxing settings in healthcare. Parents routinely experience separation due to infant acuity, infection control policies, logistics, and competing family or work obligations. Live-streaming bedside cameras, paired with secure one-way team to family messaging, have emerged as a way to bridge this gap by providing real-time visual reassurance and concise, consistent information. Despite demonstrated value, adoption remains inconsistent, with cameras still often viewed as "nice-to-have" rather than essential.

This perspective is increasingly out of alignment with current evidence and standards. The family integrated care literature




demonstrates that parent engagement and participation are associated with better infant and parent outcomes (Gooding et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2022; O'Brien et al., 2018). Telehealth and equity research show that virtual participation options increase attendance, reduce barriers, and can narrow disparities when designed intentionally (Patel et al., 2022; Rosenthal et al., 2024). Operational studies and reports from frontline staff indicate that well-implemented camera programs reassure families at their most vulnerable time, reduce miscommunication, and improve trust (Gallagher et al., 2023; Stumpel et al., 2023). While initial costs and resource needs are important, evidence suggests that the long-term benefits, such as improved family engagement, reduced staff workload from fewer calls, and enhanced equity, justify investment. Including a dedicated section on cost analysis and resource planning can help decision-makers evaluate financial feasibility and prioritize implementation (see section on Cost and Resources). This proactive approach addresses common concerns about budget constraints and demonstrates the program's value.

## Evidence of Impact: What Bedside Cameras Change

### *Parental mental health, bonding, and confidence*

Separation in the NICU elevates parental stress and anxiety; qualitative and cohort evidence indicate that real-time video access and consistent, brief visual updates mitigate distress, improve perceived presence, and strengthen trust in the care team (Gallagher et al., 2023; Klawetter et al., 2021; Pajak et al., 2023). These effects align with foundational family integrated care principles and interventions (e.g., Family-Integrated Care, FICare) that increase parental participation and are associated

Jaylee Hilliard is the Vice President of Clinical Strategy at AngelEye Health, revolutionizing patient and family support through advanced technology. Lisa Davenport is a prominent nursing leader specializing in Neonatal Intensive Care (NICU), known for her roles at Texas Children's Hospital, as a faculty member for Texas Tech Health Science Center, and recently joining AngelEye Health, focusing on family-centered care, virtual nursing, and human milk advocacy.

with better infant growth and parent outcomes (Gooding et al., 2011; O'Brien et al., 2018; Waddington et al., 2021). Parents who receive reliable, visually anchored updates report greater confidence in caregiving and a clearer understanding of the plan of care, factors tied to safer transitions at discharge (Lucile et al., 2025)

### *Lactation and breastfeeding trajectories*

Visual connection supports oxytocin-mediated letdown and motivation to sustain pumping when parents cannot be present at the bedside (Weber et al., 2021). Camera-enabled connection and micro-updates on feeding milestones are consistent with the literature for maintaining milk supply during separation (Hoyt-Austin et al., 2022). In parallel, FICare trials link enhanced parental participation to improved feeding trajectories and earlier readiness for discharge, outcomes plausibly supported by consistent visual coaching and reinforcement (O'Brien et al., 2018; Waddington et al., 2021). Given the high downstream costs of neonatal morbidities, interventions that protect lactation and caregiver competence carry strategic value (Johnson et al., 2015).

### *Communication quality, trust, and fewer interruptions*

A frequent concern is that cameras will increase call volume. Multiple studies report the opposite: reassurance-seeking calls decrease when families can see their infant or receive concise, accurate updates via secure one-way messaging; staff report fewer repetitive interruptions and clearer communication across shifts (Stumpel et al., 2023). Qualitative studies show that when cameras are framed as a connection (not surveillance) and paired with norms such as pausing during hands-on care, trust and satisfaction improve for families and staff (Gallagher et al., 2023; Rhoads et al., 2012). Messaging also helps teams batch communication efficiently and maintain consistency day to day.

## Equity and Access: Making Presence Predictable

### *Digital realities and onboarding*

Families with limited financial resources are less likely to have broadband access but often own smartphones and seek digital health information, underscoring the need for mobile-first, low-bandwidth design to promote fairness (Weems et al., 2016). In a 357-infant cohort, families engaged with cameras on a median of 86% of hospitalization days (165,795 total logins). Publicly insured families experienced longer consent times and fewer logins, underscoring the importance of supportive onboarding to foster trust and inclusivity (Stefanescu et al., 2023).

### *Language and distance as structural barriers—and opportunities*

Use of remote infant viewing is markedly higher among families who do not require an interpreter, underscoring language access as a pivotal equity lever (Patel et al., 2022). Families living farther from the NICU were also more likely to use remote viewing, indicating that cameras help mitigate distance-related limitations (Bourque et al., 2023; Patel et al., 2022). Evaluations from the COVID-19 era found heterogeneous uptake by insurance and room type, reinforcing the need to standardize equitable workflows and actively track disparities (Mangla et al., 2022; Patel et al., 2023).

### *Parallel evidence from virtual family-centered rounds*

Randomized and quasi-experimental studies of virtual family-centered rounds (V-FCR) demonstrate substantial participation gains, with particularly large relative improvements among families facing structural barriers (e.g., racial/ethnic minority status, lack of a college education) (Rosenthal et al., 2024). These findings translate conceptually to NICU camera programs: when virtual presence is easy to access, linguistically inclusive, and proactively offered, engagement disparities narrow rather than widen.

### *Equity blueprint for camera programs*

1. **Mobile-first UI and low-bandwidth streaming** with plain-language design (Weems et al., 2016).
2. **Proactive enrollment at admission** (not passive opt-in) with translated, pictorial instructions (Stefanescu et al., 2023).
3. **Translation integration and multilingual messages** for one-way updates (Patel et al., 2022).
4. **Equity monitoring** (activation/use by language, insurance, distance) with device/hotspot support and navigator outreach where needed (Patel et al., 2022; Stefanescu et al., 2023).

## Operational Value and Health System Impact

### *Throughput and discharge readiness*

FICare trials demonstrate improved parent participation and infant growth with operational implications for length of stay and discharge readiness (O'Brien et al., 2018; Waddington et al., 2021). Cameras extend participation beyond physical visiting hours and enable micro-learning (e.g., cue-based feeding, safe positioning) that families can revisit, thereby smoothing the path to discharge.

### *Workforce efficiency and experience*

Concise updates reduce phone tag and repeated explanations; alignment of multidisciplinary messaging improves consistency across disciplines (Joshi et al., 2016). Program maturity, with clear expectations and privacy protective pausing during hands on care, is associated with greater staff comfort and fewer complaints (Gallagher et al., 2023; Rhoads et al., 2012).

### *Strategic and financial rationale*

The costs of neonatal morbidities are substantial; interventions that strengthen breastfeeding, improve caregiver confidence, and enhance discharge readiness plausibly yield meaningful value, even when gains accrue across multiple small improvements (Johnson et al., 2015; Weber et al., 2021). Camera programs can also improve patient-family experience narratives, which supports community trust and public perception (Gallagher et al., 2023; Weber et al., 2021). The telemedicine literature further supports its value, as it improves access to specialty care and follow-up for rural and socioeconomically constrained families (Sauers-Ford et al., 2019).

## Common Concerns—Aligned With Evidence

- **"Families will call more often."** Evidence shows reassurance calls decline when families can visually check the infant or receive concise updates (Stumpel et al., 2023).
- **"I feel like I'm being watched."** Modern programs employ one-way video (no audio), pause during hands-on care, and display a "care in progress" banner, norms associated with staff comfort and family trust (Rhoads et al., 2012).
- **"This adds to my workload."** Digital updates and parental reassurance by viewing the camera typically *reduce* time on the phone and the need for repetitive explanations (Kubicka et al., 2021., Muller et al., 2024, Stumpel et al., 2023).
- **"We offer 24/7 visitation—cameras are unnecessary."** Distance, work, illness, and childcare make continuous

presence unrealistic for many families; cameras transcend these barriers and enable uninhibited connection (Patel et al., 2022; Weems et al., 2016; Saito et al., 2024).

- **"Critically ill infants shouldn't be on camera."** Parents of the sickest infants often benefit most from connection; privacy rules and expectation-setting protect dignity while decreasing distress (Reimer et al., 2021).

### Implementation Guidance for Leaders (Burden-Light, Equity-First)

1. **Integrate enrollment with admission (ADT/SSO)** and provide translated, plain language "first use" guides; enroll proactively (Stefanescu et al., 2023; Weems et al., 2016).
2. **Normalize privacy protective operations:** camera paused during hands-on care, on-screen "care in progress" message, no audio recording (Rhoads et al., 2012).
3. **Train for consistency and speed:** 20–40-second photo/video/text updates; batch when feasible; align messages across disciplines.
4. **Language access by design:** translated User Interface messages in families' preferred languages(Patel et al., 2022; Weems et al., 2016).
5. **Measure equity and iterate:** monitor activation/use by language, insurance, distance; offer devices/hotspots, technical support, and navigator outreach to close gaps (Patel et al., 2022; Stefanescu et al., 2023).
6. **Align with FICare and discharge pathways:** use cameras to reinforce kangaroo care, feeding plans, and discharge education (O'Brien et al., 2018; Waddington et al., 2021).

### Ethical Imperative

**Minimizing the harm of separation is integral to safe, family-integrated neonatal care.** Default, privacy-respecting virtual visibility, implemented with cultural humility, upholds partnership, reduces preventable distress, and operationalizes equity (Gooding et al., 2011).

### Future Directions for Broader Clinical Application

Cameras already deliver value as connection tools, but they are increasingly knit into broader digital ecosystems that support parent education, quality improvement, and regional tele-consultation (Sauers-Ford et al., 2019; Weber et al., 2021). As integration expands (e.g., with discharge education platforms and interpreter services), leaders should evaluate cameras with the same rigor applied to other standards (e.g., kangaroo care, structured discharge) and treat them as core infrastructure for modern neonatal care (O'Brien et al., 2018; Waddington et al., 2021).

### Conclusion

The convergent evidence, qualitative experience, implementation cohorts, randomized family integrated care trials, and telehealth equity studies support reframing bedside cameras with secure one-way messaging from "amenity" to standard infrastructure in NICUs. Programs that enroll families proactively, protect privacy during hands-on care, provide succinct multilingual updates, and monitor equity demonstrate improved trust, fewer reassurance calls, stronger engagement in feeding and discharge planning, and better alignment with institutional quality and equity goals (Gallagher et al., 2023; Joshi et al., 2016; O'Brien et al., 2018; Patel et al., 2022; Rosenthal et al., 2024; Stefanescu et al., 2023). The question is no longer whether cameras have a place in the NICU; it is how rapidly we can implement them effectively, equitably, and at scale.

### References


1 Bourque, S. L., Williams, V. N., Scott, J., & Hwang, S. S. (2023). The Role of Distance from Home to Hospital on Parental Experience in the NICU: A Qualitative Study. *Children*, *10*(9), 1576. https://doi.org/10.3390/children10091576

2 Chant, K., Meek, J., Hayns-Worthington, R., Harris, L., Pajak, P., Marlow, N. & Gallagher, K. (2024). Live stream webcams on the neonatal unit: An additional responsibility for nursing workload? *Journal of Neonatal Nursing*, *30(1)*, 33-37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnn.2023.04.011

3 Gallagher, K., Hayns-Worthington, R., Marlow, N., Meek, J., & Chant, K. (2023). Parental experiences of live video streaming technology in neonatal care in England: A qualitative study. *BMC Pediatrics*, *23*(1), 96. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12887-023-03907-4

4 Gooding, J. S., Cooper, L. G., Blaine, A. I., Franck, L. S., Howse, J. L., & Berns, S. D. (2011). Family support and family-centered care in the neonatal intensive care unit: Origins, advances, impact. *Pediatrics*, *128*(1), e115–e123. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.semperi.2010.10.004

5 Hoyt- Austin, A., Miller, I., Kuhn-Riordon, K., Rosenthal, J., Chantry, C., Marcin, J., Hoffman, K., Kair, L. (2022). Bonding, relaxation, separation, and connection: Expressing human milk while videoconferencing with the hospitalized premature infant. *Breastfeeding Medicine*, *17*(8), 653-659. https://doi.org/10.1089/bfm.2021.0214

6 Johnson, T. J., Patel, A. L., Bigger, H. R., Engstrom, J. L., & Meier, P. P. (2015). Cost of morbidities in very low birth weight infants. *Journal of Pediatrics*, *167*(5), 1030–1035.e1. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2012.07.013

7 Joshi, A., Chyou, P.-H., Tirmizi, Z., & Gross, J. (2016). Web camera provision of patient- and family-centered care in the neonatal intensive care unit. *Clinical Medicine & Research*, *14*(1), 1–5. https://doi.org/10.3121/CMR.2015.1286

8 Klawetter, S., Greenfield, J., Speer, S., Brown, K. & Hwang, S. (2019). An integrative review: maternal engagement in the neonatal intensive care unit and health outcomes for U.S.-born preterm infants and their parents. *AIMS Public Health*, *6*(2): 160-183. doi: 10.3934/publichealth.2019.2.160

9 Kubicka, Z., Zahr, E., & Clark, P. (2021). Use of an internet camera system in the neonatal intensive care unit: parental and nursing perspectives and its effects on stress. *J Perinatol* 41, 2048–2056. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41372-021-00934-w

10 Liu, M., Xu, Y., & Li, Y. (2022). Effects of Family Participatory Nursing on Clinical Outcomes of Premature Infants in NICU and Families' Psychological Status. *Contrast media & molecular imaging*, *2022*, 7420909. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/7420909

11 Lucile, G., Frédérique, B.-A., Blandine, R. B., & Marie, C. (2025). Existing Tools for Improving Day-to-Day Communication With Parents in Neonatology: A Narrative Review. *Acta Paediatrica 114*(12), 3086–3095. https://doi.org/10.1111/apa.70264

12 Mangla, S., Stolfi, A., Jasin, L. R., Yamashita, I., Bader, G., Howard, S., & Omoloja, A. A. (2022). Webcam use in the neonatal intensive care unit during the first year of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. *American Journal of Perinatology. 41:*e1205-e1211. https://doi.org/10.1055/a-2001-8520

13 Müller, H.S., Becker-Peth, M., Kuntz, L.(2024) Webcam technology on neonatal wards—examining the objective and subjective workload of nurses: A combined observational and survey study. *BMC Nursing* (23), 449. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-024-02107-4

14 O'Brien, K., Robson, K., Bracht, M., Cruz, M., Lui, K., Alvaro,